IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 5044 of 2002

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE R.P.DHOLAKIA

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

LEELABEN CHHAGANBHAI KOLI

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

MS JAYSHREE C BHATT for Petitioner No. 1

MS NANDINI JOSHI AGP for Respondent No. 1-3

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE R.P.DHOLAKIA

Date of decision: 18/09/2002

ORAL JUDGEMENT

The present petition has been filed by the detenu who has been detained under the provisions of Gujarat Prevention of Anti-Social Activities Act, 1985 (hereinafter referred to as `the Act of 1985') by order dated 15/4/2002 passed by the Police Commissioner, Ahmedabad City and she has been declared as bootlegger.

2. It is now well settled that unless the activities of a person as bootlegger has disturbed the maintenance

of public order, he cannot be detained under the Act. Reliance is placed on a decision in the case of Piyush Kantilal Mehta Vs. Commissioner of Police, Ahmedabad & Ors. reported in AIR 1989 SC 491.

3. I have heard learned advocate for the petitioner and learned AGP and also perused the material on record. According to learned advocate for the petitioner, the detaining authority has passed the detention order relying upon the six offences registered against the detenu under Bombay Prohibition Act. The learned advocate for the petitioner has further submitted that against the impugned order of detention, the petitioner made a detailed representation on 6/5/2002 which has been rejected by; the authority on 9/5/2002 and the detenu has been informed accordingly and the petitioner received the said communication on 15/5/2002 through jail. The learned counsel for the petitioner tenders the copy of the said communication. The same is taken on record. According to the learned advocate for the petitioner there is a delay in considering the representation and also there is a delay in communicating the said representation of the petitioner, which is unconstitutional. In support of her say, the learned advocate for the petitioner has placed reliance upon the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in AIR 1981 SC 1126, in which the Honourable Supreme Court has held as under :-

> "We would emphasise that it is the duty of the State to proceed to determine representations of the character above mentioned with the utmost expedition, which means that the matter must be taken up for consideration as soon as such a representation is received and dealt with continuously (unless it is absolutely necessary to wait for some assistance in connection with it) until a final decision is taken and communicated to the detenu. This not having been done in the present case we have no option but to declare the detention unconstitutional. We order accordingly, allow the appeal and direct that the appellant be set at liberty forthwith."

4. Since the detaining authority has not decided the representation of the petitioner and not communicated the decision of the representation of the petitioner promptly, in view of the above judgment relied upon by the learned advocate for the petitioner, the order of detention is illegal and the same cannot be sustained. Learned advocate for the petitioner does not press any

other points.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed. The order of detention dated 15/4/2002 passed against the detenu is hereby quashed and set aside. The detenu namely Leelaben Chhaganbhai Koli is ordered to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in any other case. Rule is made absolute accordingly with no order as to costs. D.S. Permitted.

(R.P.DHOLAKIA,J.)

Rafik